Jul-19-06 03:43pm From-

JUL 19 2006

T-578 P.001

-403

SMART & BIGGAR

Intellectual Property & Technology Law

To Fax no.:

1 571 273 8300

438 University Avenue

Page 1 of:

10

Suite 1500, Box 111 Toronto, Canada M5G 2K8

Attention:

APPEAL BRIEFS

Tel.: (416) 593-5514

Reply Brief for Examiner Joseph E.

Fax: (416) 591-1690

AVELLINO

From:

Matthew Zischka

Your file no .:

09/825,412

Date:

July 19, 2006

Reply to Toronto file no .:

92027-5

Time:

Please see the following attached documents:

1. Transmittal Form (1 page);

Fee Transmittal (1 page); and

3. Appellant's Reply Brief under 37 C.F.R. 1.193(b) (7 pages).

If there are any transmission problems, please call (416) 593-5514.

Original copy and any enclosures

will will not

be sent

☐ courier

The information contained in this transmission is confidential and only for the intended recipient identified above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or use of this communication is unlawful. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect). Return the original message to us and retain no copy.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

T-578 P.002/010 F-493

JUL 19 2006

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE flection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1986, no persons are required to respond to a Application Number 09/825,412 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date April 3, 2001 First Named Inventor Grant HOOD FORM Art Unit 2143 Examiner Name Joseph E. AVELLINO (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 02027-5 Total Number of Pages in Thia Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC ✓ Drawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendmant/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidavits/declaration(s) Other Enclosure(s) (please identify Terminal Disclaimer below): Extension of Time Request Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD. Number of CD(8) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Remarks Certifled Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply Brief under 37 C.F.R. 1.193(b) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name SMART & BIGGAR/ Signature Printed name Matthew Zischke Reg. No. 41,575 Date July 19, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING t hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope gardessed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Date July 19, 2006 Matthew Zischka Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the including case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

T-578 P.003/010 F-493

JUL 19 2006

PTO/SE/17 (01-08)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. CMB 0651-0032
redemark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction	n Act of 1995	berlupet era encereq on	to respon	d to a collection	of inform	ation unless i	displays a val	id OMB control numb	er Pr
				Complete if Known					
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).			Ap	/ (pp)(edite() (1 -)		9/825,412			\dashv
FEE TRANSMITTAL			- FN				orli 3, 2001		
For FY 2006			Fir	First Named Inventor Gra		Grant HOOD			\dashv
			Ex	Examiner Name 2143					
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27			Ar				seph E. AVELLINO		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)				tomey Docket	No.	92027-5			┥
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)									\dashv
Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify);									
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 19-2548 Deposit Account Name:									
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)									
Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee									
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Credit any overpayments									
under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17									
Information and authorization on PTO-2038. FEE CALCULATION (All the fees below are due upon filing or may be subject to a surcharge.)									
				or may be	Jabjet				
1. BASIC FILING, SEA	RCH, AND ! FILING !	EXAMINATION FE FFES S	es Search	I FEES	EXAN	MOITANIA	FEES		
	2	imall Entity	8	mall Entity	Foe	Small E	ntity	Fees Paid (\$)	ł
Application Type	Fee (\$)		ee (\$) 500	Enc.(\$) 250	200				Ì
Utility	300		100	50	130				
Design	200		300	150	160				
Plant	200		500 500	250	600				
Reissue	300	100	0	0			,) .		
Provisional	200	100	U	U	,	`	-	ali Entity	
2 EXCESS CLAIM FE				<u>F4</u>		Гее (\$) 25			
Fach claim over 20	- \				50 200	100			
Each independent of	s) .				360	180			
Multiple dependent	Fee Pa	ald (\$)		ML	ittple Deper	ndent Claims			
Total Claims - 20 or HP =	<u>Extra Ciai</u>	_ x=				E	ee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	
HP = highest number of tot	al claims paid f Extra Clai	or, if greater than 20.	Feo P	aid (\$)			_		
1100 p. 300 p. X									
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE 1.20 = 1.2									
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50									
l lignings under 37 (CFR 1.52(e)), the application s	128 166 (1)(C) on	は8 37 CRR 1	3123 II 16(s).	N SHEETH CH	ing) ioi ou	01, 411	
sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof (round up to a whole number) × - 100 =									
4. OTHER FEE(S)								(\$)	
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)									
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge):									
SUBMITTED BY		A		egistration No.			Telephone	(416) 593-5514	
SUBMITTED BY Registration No. 41,575 Telephone (41 (Attorney/Agent) Date July 19,									
		ı					Pere July 1	\$, 2000	

Name (Print/Type) Matthew Zischka This collection of information is required by 37 dFR 1.138. The information is required to obtain or retain a benofit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Conflictnitially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete USPTO to process) an application. Conflictnitially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is assimilated to take 30 minutes to complete the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments including gathering, preparing, and summitting the completed application for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent on the smount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradomark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Aloxandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS and Tradomark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Appress. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and solect option 2.

JUL 19 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE HONORABLE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

In re the application of: Grant HOOD et al. Application No:09/825,412) Group Art Unit: 2143) Examiner: Joseph E. AVELLINO)
Filed: April 3, 2001 For: Methods and Devices For Providing Pooled Personal Introduction Services	Certificate of Transmission I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Parant and Tradomark Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on July 19, 2006 Signature Matthew Zischka Typed or printed name of person significate

APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.193(b)

The Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 22313 U.S.A.

Dear Sir or Madam:

The following is the Appellant's Reply Brief, submitted under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.193.

This Reply Brief is responsive to the Examiner's Answer, mailed May 19, 2006 (hereinafter "Examiner's Answer"). However, in this Reply Brief the Applicant does not intend to address all of the claims pending in the application nor all of the issues raised by the Examiner in the Examiner's Answer. The Applicant reasserts <u>all</u> submissions made in the Appeal Brief. Submissions presented in this Reply Brief are presented to further clarify the Applicant's position and are in no way intended to detract from arguments previously made by the Applicant.

As previously set out in the Appellant's Appeal Brief, the Examiner has rejected independent claims 1 to 14 and 16 to 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in view of US Patent Publication 2002/0073343 to "Ziskind" et al. (hereinafter "Ziskind") and US Patent No. 6,665,3879 to "Haste" (hereinafter "Haste").

Claims 1-20 claim systems and methods of facilitating exchange of messages at a computerized message exchange system (e.g. computerized dating systems). A first and second plurality of greetings are stored at the system. Each of the first plurality of greetings associated with users of a first introduction service provider. Each of the second plurality of greetings associated with a user of an introduction service provider, different from the first introduction service provider. Users associated with the first introduction service provider are allowed access to selected ones of the second plurality of greetings, based on criteria associated with an originator of each of the selected ones of the second greetings and criteria set by the first introduction service provider.

Users associated with the first introduction service provider may thus have access to greetings of users associated with other service providers, effectively increasing the number of persons to whom the users associated with the first introduction service provider may be introduced. Conveniently, access to greetings of various service providers and various users may be filtered, so that users of the first service provider only have access to users of selected other service providers, and to users having sanctioned interests.

The primary reference applied by the Examiner, Ziskind, has little to do with the present invention. It is directed to a system allowing exchange of instant Internet messages. Instant messaging serves a fundamentally different purpose than introduction services, the subject of the present application. Instant messaging is designed to allow individuals, regardless of their specific interest, to communicate with each other. Thus, allowing users of one instant messaging service access to users of another is consistent with the underlying purpose of instant messaging, and is in principal no different

than allowing subscribers of different phone companies to communicate with each other. As detailed in the background of the present application, introduction services are designed to introduce specific persons sharing a common interest, for, for example, social or dating purposes. As such, introduction service providers typically operate closed systems that only allow limited access between subscribers. A person of ordinary skill would thus have <u>no motivation</u> to use instant messaging systems and modify them to arrive at an introduction service, as suggested by the Examiner.

More fundamentally, however, even if Ziskind and the remaining references were combined, a person of ordinary skill would not arrive at the claimed invention, as neither Ziskind nor the remaining references disclose all elements of independent claims 1, 12 or 17.

As claimed in claim 1.

"users associated with said <u>first</u> introduction service provider, access to <u>selected</u> ones of said <u>second</u> plurality of greetings, based on criteria associated with an <u>originator of</u> each of said selected ones of <u>said second greetings</u>, and criteria set by said <u>first</u> introduction service provider."

That is, users of a first introduction service provider are given access to greetings of selected users of a second service provider. The selection is based on criteria associated with the originators of each of the second greetings, and criteria set by the first service provider. In this way, the effective number of users whose greetings are apparently available to users of the first service provider is increased, by pooling greetings of users of the first and second service providers. At the same time sensitivities of the users of the first service provider, and the service provider itself are respected, by selecting which greetings of which users of the second service provider access are provided based on the originator of each of the second greetings, and criteria set by the first service provider. Example criteria used by the first service provider include the gender, age and interest of users of the second service provider.

In response to this argument, the Examiner's continues to misread the claims and Ziskind. The Examiner asserts at page 10 in his Examiner's Answer

"As to point (2), the Examiner agrees with the recitation of cited passages by the Appelant, however, disagrees that the combination does not disclose figin access to selected users by the first service provider. As evidenced by the cited passges by the Appellant (specifically p.3 ¶ 32 of Ziskind) a user directory allowing the user the ability to determine whether or not the user should be visible by others (i.e. notify others that user X has signed on). This way, user Y cannot view user X's information without the permission of X. In this fashion, the system gives access to user Y only those users which request to be displayed in the user directory. Utilizing the greetings of the internet dating system of Haste, results in multiple affillated dating and introduction services pooling together resources in order to get a wide variety of messengers to communicate with one another."

To illustrate the deficiency in this reasoning, the following attempts to map the FIRST USER/SECOND USER language of the claims to the Examiner's reasoning:

As evidenced by the cited passges by the Appellant (specifically p.3 ¶ 32 of Ziskind) a user directory allowing the user [SECOND USER] the ability to determine whether or not the user [SECOND USER] should be visible by others (i.e. notify others that user X [SECOND USER] has signed on). This way, user Y [FIRST USER] cannot view user X's [SECOND USER] information without the permission of X [SECOND USER].

This reasoning, however, is not consistent with the claim language. As claimed in claim 1,

"users associated with said <u>first</u> introduction service provider, access to <u>selected</u> ones of said <u>second</u> plurality of greetings, based on criteria associated with an <u>originator of</u> each of said selected ones of <u>said</u> <u>second greetings</u>, and <u>criteria set by said first introduction service provider."</u>

That is, users of a first introduction service provider are given access to greetings of <u>selected</u> users of a <u>second service provider</u>. The selection is based on criteria associated with the originators of each of the second

user (i.e. not "the permission of X, argued by the Examiner"). Not surprisingly, in the instant Internet messaging system of Ziskind, it is user X that decides whether or not he/she should be viewable by user Y. As claimed, it is the second user [user X] and the first user's service provider [the service provider for user Y] that set criteria used to decide.

In this way, the effective number of users whose greetings are apparently available to users of the first service provider is increased, by pooling greetings of users of the first and second service providers. At the same time sensitivities of the users of the first service provider, and the service provider itself are respected, by selecting which greetings of which users of the second service provider access are provided based on the originator of each of the second greetings, and criteria set by the first service provider. Example criteria used by the first service provider include the gender, age and interest of users of the second service provider.

Claim elements must first be found in the art, and there must be a motivation to combine/modify to arrive at the invention. All claim elements have not been found in the art. The mere presence of a subset of the elements in the art, and knowledge of the applicants' invention is simply insufficient to conclude that a person of ordinary skill would arrive at the remaining claim elements and the invention. As such, the conclusion reached by Examiner does not appear to be based on the cited art but instead appears to be made with impermissible hindsight.

For all of these reasons, and those contained in the Appellant's Brief, it is submitted that the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. Reversal of the Examiner's rejections under 35 USC 103 is therefore respectfully requested.

In response to the Examiner's formalities objection to the Summary of Invention in the Appeal Brief, a revised Summary of Invention is attached, as Appendix "A" hereto.

By_

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Zischka

Registration No.: 41,575

SMART & BIGGAR 438 University Avenue Suite 1500, Box 111 Toronto, ON Canada M5G 2K8

Telephone: (416) 593-5514

Facsimile:

(416) 591-1690

Date: July 19, 2006

92027-5 MZ/kdr Enclosure

Appendix "A"

Summary of the Invention

The invention relates to a method of facilitating exchange of messages at a computerized message exchange system (e.g. p. 5, line 6 – p. 6; FIG. 1 – 10). A first and second plurality of greetings are stored at the system (FIG. 1 – 34). Each of the first plurality of greetings associated with users of a first introduction service provider. Each of the second plurality of greetings associated with a user of an introduction service provider, different from the first introduction service provider (e.g. p. 11, line 12 - p.12, line 33, FIG. 34). Users associated with the first introduction service provider are allowed access to selected ones of the second plurality of greetings, based on criteria associated with an originator of each of the selected ones of the second greetings and criteria set by the first introduction service provider. (e.g. pp. 21, line 20 - p. 23, line 26; FIG. 5 - steps 8512, 8514).

Users associated with the first introduction service provider may thus have access to greetings of users associated with other service providers, effectively increasing the number of persons to whom the users associated with the first introduction service provider may be introduced. Conveniently, access to greetings of various service providers and various users may be filtered, so that users of the first service provider only have access to users of selected other service providers, and to users having sanctioned interests.